

# MAKING THE INVISIBLE VISIBLE

Groundwater, Public Health and People's Perception

Tannie Eshenaur, M.P.H. Drinking Water Protection

Minnesota Groundwater Association April 24, 2013











#### Personal Health vs. Population Health

#### <u>Medical</u>

- Physician patient
- Clinics
- X-rays, lab tests, histories

#### Public Health

- Multi-disciplinary Engineers,
  Epidemiologists,
  Hydrogeologists,
  Planners,
  - Health Educators
- Risk assessment
- Risk management
- Policy
- Systems





#### London, 1850's ~ Cholera



"A Court for King Cholera," Punch, 1852 http://www.st-and.ac.uk/~city19c/viccity/houshealth.html, accessed 10/4/2002







# John Snow and Cholera – 1850's





#### No pump handle!

http://www.ph.ucla.edu/epi/snow/snowp



#### Public Health = Longer Lives

- Lifespan almost doubles
- Leading causes of death: no longer due to environmental factors



25 of the 30 years of life gained in the 20<sup>th</sup> century resulted from public health accomplishments -- CDC





#### **Environmental Public Health**



Ensure physical environmental conditions in which communities can be healthy



Diagram courtesy of Australia's EnHealth.



#### **Drinking Water Protection**

• Ensure <u>safe</u> and <u>sufficient</u> drinking water

8

- through a series of strategic safeguards
- from <u>source</u> to <u>tap</u>





### **MN Drinking Water Sources**

- Surface water systems (~21 community systems)
  - Serve 25% of population
  - Intake protection a voluntary process
  - 3 systems have approved plans
- Groundwater systems (~925 community systems)
  - Serve 55% of population
- Remaining 20% of population is served by private wells





# Source Water Protection (SWP)

Prevent anthropogenic contamination from entering sources of public drinking water

10

- •Mandated in MN statute and rule,
  - Safe Drinking Water Act
- •MDH provides technical assistance
  - Plan development
  - Plan implementation

•Wellhead Protection team at the community level









#### Brian Williams/MDA

11

#### Modified land use in Edgerton





12

#### SWP Outcomes - Edgerton

#### **Edgerton Source Water - Total Nitrogen**





#### **Geologic Protection and Casing Grout**

#### Figure 3: Nitrate and Geologic Protection, February 2008



#### Figure 4: Nitrate and Casing Grout, February 2008









#### **Geologic Protection and Casing Grout**

#### Figure 6: Nitrate, Casing Grout, and Geologic Protection, February 2008



MDH – Jim Lundy April 2013





# Median Nitrate for Two Categories of Wells

| Well                | Matrix | Geologic<br>Protection | Casing<br>Grout | Surface<br>Drainage | N  | % Low | % Moderate | % High | Median<br>[NO₃],<br>mg/L |
|---------------------|--------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----|-------|------------|--------|--------------------------|
| Most-               | C or Q | Yes                    | Yes             | Away                | 43 | 97.7  | 2.3        | 0      | 0.1                      |
| Desirable           |        |                        |                 |                     |    |       |            |        |                          |
| Least-<br>Desirable | S or B | No                     | No              | Toward              | 24 | 12.5  | 37.5       | 50.0   | 10.4                     |

Round 1 Nitrate Results for Most-Desirable and Least-Desirable Wells

Wells built according to the water well construction code exclude surface contaminants



MDH – Jim Lundy April 2013









### **Risk Communication Basics**

- People are not just empty vessels to be filled with facts.
- Need to start where they are
- Acknowledge their understandings, worldview, concerns
  - (earn your right to be heard)
- Then gradually move towards your message













vertical exaggeration 40x



# **Risk Communication 101**

### RISK = HAZARD + OUTRAGE Peter Sandman

Goal: to provide resources needed to make informed decisions about risks to health and actions to protect health





# **Risk Communication**

- "An <u>iterative process of exchange of information and</u> opinions among individuals, groups and institutions." National Research Council
- To help ...affected communities understand the processes of risk assessment and management, to form scientifically valid perceptions of the likely hazards, and to participate in making decisions about how risk should be managed.
- A <u>science-based approach for communicating</u> effectively in: high concern and low trust situations and sensitive or controversial situations.



Covello



# Sandman's Paradigm

| Health Education                              | Crisis or<br>Emergency<br>Communications |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Public Relations<br>Stakeholder<br>Management | Outrage Management                       |  |  |  |
| lazard  |  |  |  |  |
| Outrage ———                                   |  |  |  |  |





#### **Provoking Outrage**



The Minnesota Department of Health's colon ad campaign is raising awareness about colorectal cancer — and raising more than a few eyebrows in the Twin Cities metro. <u>http://www.nydailynews.com</u> accessed April 20, 2013



### **Risk Perception - Factors**

| More Acceptable                 | Less Acceptable      |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Voluntary                       | Involuntary          |
| Not dreaded                     | Dreaded              |
| <b>Controlled by individual</b> | Controlled by others |
| Clear benefits                  | Little or no benefit |
| Fairly distributed              | Unfairly distributed |
| Natural                         | Manmade              |
| Familiar                        | Exotic               |
| Affects adults                  | Affects children     |





Four factors that create believability and trustworthiness:

- Empathy and caring
- Competence and expertise
- Honesty and openness
- Dedication and commitment

(Covello, 1992, 1993)





### Needs Assessment Project

- Focused on drinking water and contaminants
- Goal of project was to learn from the public, through a series of focus groups:
  - General perception of water quality
  - Perceptions about contaminants
  - Credible sources of information





# Is My Water Safe to Drink?

I just cringe at the thought of going to a state (Web) site. They're not logical, it's almost like an engineer put it together, it's not very user friendly.

Quote from a focus group participant





# Focus Group Design

- 12 focus groups
- <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> rural, private wells
- ½ public water
- 2 in each region
- Comments recorded
- Transcribed
- Analyzed using Qualitative Data Analysis





#### Findings (1) Perceptions:

•Drinking water quality is associated with taste, temperature, odor, and clarity

•Water quality problems happen to other people

Private well water is safer than treated public water

•Well depth and original well test is sufficient indicator of water quality





#### Findings (2) Credible Sources:

- City/county entities
- Other local/regional governmental units
- Well drillers
- Community networks/leaders
- State/federal government
- Internet
- Media





### Mental Models

 "whatever the goal of a communication, its designers need to address the mental models that recipients bring to it, that is, the pattern of knowledge gaps, overly general understandings, and outright misconceptions that can frustrate learning...One cannot rely on the intuition of technical experts regarding either what laypeople currently believe or what they need to know."

Atman, Bostrom, Fischoff, and Morgan, 1990





#### Mental Model (2) Correct concepts

- Local well driller, water utility, public health can be trustworthy sources of information
- Information from the news media and Internet should be verified with a trustworthy source
- Water quality in Minnesota's public water supplies is good
- Flooding and septic tanks pose a risk to drinking water wells
- Agricultural practices and unsealed unused wells can affect drinking water quality





# Mental Model

#### (3) Misconceptions

- Drinking water quality can be determined by taste, temperature, odor, or clarity.
- Water quality problems happen to other people.
- Private well water and bottled water are safer than public water supplies.
- Well depth and the original well test is a good indicator of water quality in a private well.
- There is an invisible, unlimited supply of groundwater.
- Any amount of a chemical in drinking water is dangerous.
- Well water is free.
- Fluoride causes cavities, bone cancer, obesity, etc.





# Mental Model

(4) ew concepts

- Not "safe" or "unsafe" dichotomy, the question is "How safe?"
- Balancing risks and benefits; chlorine, fluoride
- Regular testing of well water and proper maintenance are essential to safe drinking water
- Degree of protection afforded by public drinking water supplies
- Sources of drinking water require protection





# Contaminants of Emerging Concern

• no clear definition...

#### some "new" awareness

- new chemical
- new toxicological info
- new level of detection
- new media
- new pathway
- large uncertainties
- health standard lacking or changing





### Implications for Risk Communication

- anticipate change
  - new scientific developments may be perceived as past errors by public
- acknowledge uncertainty
- discuss the scientific method "active area of research"
- explain differences in health guidelines
- serial/spiral health education





# **Our Messages**

- We are taking a cautious public health approach
- This is a area of active scientific research
- As new knowledge becomes available, we will let you know.
- (don't use the word "conservative"),
  - Use "cautious" or "protective"





At an informational meeting in St. Paul Park in January, Mark Lund, of Newport, gazed at the diagrams of PFBA, molecules and maps of aquifers.

Even though he wasn't happy about the traces of the PFBA in his water, he wasn't quick to blame it for any health problems.

"There are so many other things, from food additives to air pollution," Lund said. He said other risk factors — including smoking, drinking alcohol, not exercising — should worry people more than PFBA. A neighbor of his, Tim Grover, of St. Paul Park, agreed. "The only time I put tap water in my mouth is to brush my teeth," he said. "Ninety-nine percent of the fluid in my body is Mountain Dew."





# Effective Risk Communication

- Begins with listening, involves listeners
- Identifies hazard, <u>and also</u> places in exposure pathway context.
- Supports informed decision-making
- Leads to meaningful behaviors that reduce or prevent exposures
- Enhances participation in the public process
- Reinforces other health promotion messages
- Forms messages that translate well into informal education for family, friends and neighbors





# The Challenge...

- Essential
- Invisible
- Shared resource
- Shared responsibility
- Shared perspective

"When you drink the water, remember the spring." Chinese Proverb





Thank you

Contact information:

Tannie Eshenaur, MPH Minnesota Department of Health tannie.eshenaur@state.mn.us 651.201.4074



