Superfund's Role in Great Lakes Restoration: The St. Louis River Area of Concern Project A Great Lakes Restoration Initiative Priority for Minnesota

Nelson T. French Mike Bares



Minnesota Groundwater Association

November 12, 2014 St. Paul, Minnesota

Fall Conference



St Louis River Area of Concern Primary Partnering Agencies

Great Lakes RESTORATION U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE ENVIRO NOAA Minnesota Pollution US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS **Control Agency** EPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES ATMENT OF CON

Overview

- Past history a legacy of settlement and development prior to environmental regulation – why we are here
- Post Environmental Regulation Era, Superfund, Great Lakes Collaborative and Great Lakes Restoration Initiative
- Remediation Efforts, Superfund and the Remedial Action Plan
- Remedial Action Plan Implementation 2014 2025...



By 1890 a bustling inland port...







Western Lake Superior Sanitary District Came on Line in 1979



Canada-U.S. Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement



Purpose is to restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the waters of the Great Lakes Basin Ecosystem

Richard Nixon and Pierre Trudeau sign the historic agreement.

Signed 1972: focus on nutrients; phosphorus in Lake Erie

Revised 1978: more focus on toxics

Revised 1987: introduced Lakewide Management Plans and Areas of Concern

Revised in 2012 – continues focus on





43 Areas of Concern (AOCs)

- 26 located entirely within the United States (4 delisted, 1 in recovery)
- 12 located wholly within Canada (3 delisted)
- 5 that are shared by Canada and United States

Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement Annex II 1987

9 of 14 Beneficial Use Impairments Identified in St. Louis River AOC



- ✓ Restrictions on fish and wildlife consumption
- ✓ Fish tumors or other deformities
- ✓ Degradation of benthos
- ✓ Restrictions on dredging activities
- ✓ Beach closings
- ✓ Degradation of aesthetics
- ✓ Loss of fish and wildlife habitat
- ✓ Degradation of fish wildlife populations
- ✓ Excessive Loading of Sediment and Nutrients
- Tainting of fish and wildlife flavor
- Bird or animal deformities or reproduction problems
- Restrictions on drinking water consumption, or taste and odor problems
- Degradation of phytoplankton and zooplankton populations
- Added costs to agriculture or industry





FFY 2010-2019 - Largest investment in the Great Lakes in two decades. Great Lakes Restoration Action Plan developed by 11 federal agencies This current action plan covers fiscal years 2015 through 2019 and addresses five urgent issues:

- Cleaning up toxics and areas of concern;
- Combating invasive species;
- Promoting nearshore health by protecting watersheds from polluted run-off;
- Restoring wetlands and other habitats; and
- Tracking progress and working with strategic partners.

Three key priorities have guided GLRI implementation in the last several years:

- Cleaning up Areas of Concern
- Reducing nutrients entering the Lakes
- Preventing the introduction of new invasive species.

MPCA Remediation Program AOC Sediment Characterization and Remedial Assessment Project

Historical Remediation Involvement

- 1990s-Worked with water staff on several small, focused projects including MN Slip
- 2005 Remediation developed a prototype scope of work no funding
- 2008 2014 Funding became available from the Federal Partners

St. Louis River Area of Concern Sediment Characterization Sites





Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

MPCA AOC Assessment Strategy

- Obtain spatially diverse, consistent sediment data set
- Conduct meaningful comparative analysis on a large geographic scale
- Enable a sharper focus on sediment Hotspots on an AOC wide basis
- Enable MPCA to prioritize resources for future cleanup and restoration

MN Remedial Assessment Areas (RAAs) 77 in MN 43 in WI 120 Total

















St. Louis River AOC Sediment Database Phase VII

- Updated from previous MPCA Sediment database (Judy Crane)
- Developed by LimnoTech
 - Access Based
 - Specialized Queries
- Administered by NOAA/Lake Superior NERR
 - 84 Studies
 - 2,684 Stations
 - 6,181 samples
 - 271,531 Chemistry Results







Analysis Tools Tableau Loaded with Phase 6 Mercury Data





Dashboard Example

Data Summary

(All available data)

Metals (without Hg)

Constituen

Mercury

PAHs

PCBs

тос

PCDD/Fs

Pesticides

Grain size

Other parameters

DRAFT Sediment Assessment Area Chemistry Characterization Assessment Area # 83(Mud Lake West) Mean PEC-Q Geographic zone: Lower St. Louis River Potential Impairment High Date Generated : 4/1/2013 The sediment assessment area chemistry characterizations provide (7) 0-15 cm screening-level displays of the sediment chemical data available for Individual assessment areas within the St. Louis River Area of Concern and are based on benthic toxicity guidelines. They are intended to assist In decision-making related to remedial and restoration activities. >15 cm (3) However, they do not provide a final remedial category designation. This decision will be made by Minnesota and Wisconsin regulatory 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.8 0.7 0.8 0.9 personnel following careful review of available site information. Sediment chemistry is not the only source of information by which remediation and restoration decisions are made. Biological studies are also available for some of the sediment assessment areas and qualitative considerations may also affect remediation and restoration decision-making. Station with profile Map of Assessment Area Cores Other sample statio Labeled Core Profiles Shown Below Water death as 8.0 Studies with Samples in Sediment Assessment Area: Chemical studies used in the characterization: Lower St. Louis River, 2011. Other chemical studies: M₂N Core Profiles Mean PEC-Q(PQ) Aercury (Hg.mg/kg) ■ e0.1 ■ 0.1-0.6 ■ --0.18 . 0.18-1.1 20.6 24.4 ML-14 ML-12 ML ... ML-16 ML-13 ML-11 ML-10 PO. PO. PO PO PQ. Hg Ho He Hg Ha Ha Ha

Depth

DRAFT Sediment Assessment Area Chemistry Characterization



No PCBs detected in 10 analyzed samples

Results Exceeding Thresholds (0-15 cm samples)

Available data in studies used for characterization

Number of

Stations

7(7)

7(7)

7(7)

7(7)

4(4)

4(4)

7(7)

7(7)

7(7)

Number of

Samples

10(10)

10(10)

10(10)

10(10)

7(7)

7(7)

10(10)

10(10)

10(10)

342(342)

10(10)

20(20)









Boxes show 25th percentile, median, and 75th percentile values . Whiskers extend to 1.5 times the inter-quartile range, and individual samples outside the whiskers are shown as open circles.

AOC Remedial Assessment Categories



AOC Remedial Assessment Categories

Additional remedial area categories:



Red Gray: Not enough data to make a remedial determination



Purple: Cleanup completed – May be in LTM or O&M

Minnesota Remedial Program Lead Sites



Additional Characterization Sites

Assessment Area	Core Locations	Analytical Samples	Remedial Determination [Red or Yellow] *	
Slip 3	9	26	Pending	
DSPA Garfield Slip D	0	0	Removed from assessment	
Rice's Point Off Channel	14	29	Pending	
Slip near 21 st Ave West	7	30	Likely Red	
Ponds Behind Erie Pier	12	24	Likely Red	
Thomson Reservoir	24	53	Pending	
Scanlon Reservoir	22	14	Pending	
Total	88	176		
* Demonstration of the second line				

* Remedial determinations will be Finalized by Spring 2015

Note: GLLA Budget \$350,000

Remedial Investigation Sites

Assessment Area	Core Locations	Analytical Samples	Remedial Program Status *	
Minnesota Slip	9	18	Feasibility Study Complete	
Slip 2	18	44	VIC RAP Approved, RA 2015	
Slip C	27	53	RI Rpt. Pending	
AGP Northland Slip	21	49	RI Rpt. Pending	
Azcon Slip	23	54	RI Rpt. Pending	
Munger Landing	35	77	RI Rpt. Pending	
US Steel	USS RI	USS RI	FS Final Dec. – RD/RA 2015	
Mud Lake West			Voluntary RP Lead (USS)	
Total	133	295		
* Remedial Investigations and Feasibility				

Studies will be Finalized by Spring 2016

Note: GLLA Budget \$1,500,000





- Revised FS
- City Development Plan



Voluntary Site - Slip 2 Development Plan

- Land Parcel is currently in Voluntary Program (VIC)
- Marina development is compatible with remedial goals
- MPCA will provide chemical sampling and laboratory costs
- Voluntary party plans to complete construction in 2015


St. Louis River/Interlake/Duluth Tar Site (SLRIDT)

Remedy Construction Activities

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency





Stryker Bay Oil Blooms



Construction of the Dredge/Cap Hybrid Remedy

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency





Sheet Pile Wall Installation



Capping with Spreader Barge to de





Page Origin X = 2,855,952 & Y = 409,890

Spreader Barge Movement Trace

Lift 1 Summary – 8/21/2006 Sub-Aqueous Cap Core Samples Stryker Bay - Duluth, MN



First Lift of Cap Sand



Activated Carbon Mat deployed with Roller Barge

1







Placing Cap & Surcharge Material

10/14/20



Installing Sheet Pile Wall Tie-backs

Cap and Surcharge Area









. Installation



Water Filled Dam and Weir Wall Isolating Stryker Bay

Herding Fish out of Work Areas

Mechanical/Hydraulic Hybrid Dredge



Mechanical Dredging with Hydraulic Transport

KOMATSU



Dredge Material Placement In CAD With Tremi Barge

24 Hour Dredge Operations

Post Dredge Cover Application









Capping with Spreader Barge

SEIDONHARGE

COM


Installing Root Barrier in Cap

09/27/2008

Armor Material Placement

Feet

11-24-03 MHB

Staging Armor Materials



Remove Sheet Pile & Surcharge

CAD

 $\widehat{\mathsf{N}}$

11-24-03 MHB

Feet

Sheet Pile & Surcharge to be Removed





08/04/2009 **Cutting Sheet Pile Sections**

Removing Sheet Pile sections



Capping Over Sheet Pile Cut

CAT



Surveying final Cap Elevation

09/08/2009

Capped Area After Surcharge Removal

10/01/2009

10.5 1







Wetland dredging & Capping A

2,000

1,000

500

3,000

11-24-03 MHB

Feet

Horizontal Auger Dredge in South Wetland

South Wetland

08/01/2009



Placing Activated Carbon Mat from Roller Barge

04/27/2010

Final CAD Capping

10/15/2009

Cad End Dike Removal

CAD End Dike Removal



Environmental Media Placement

2.000

MN WI

500

.000

CAD

3,000

•----- **N** 11-24-03 MHB

4.000

Feet

Environmental Media Pumped from 08/09/2010 Tallas Island Project to Stryker Bay







St. Louis River/Interlake/Duluth Tar Site (SLRIDT)

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency



US Steel

Superfund Site

Overview

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency



Site Location



SOUTH

DAKOTA

ast Gat



Approximately 300 acres of impacted Estuary sediments

USS Site History

- Operated from 1915-1979
- Steel and coke production with disposal to the St. Louis River
- Site listed on NPL SF list in 1983
- Contaminants: PAH's (coal tar) and heavy metals in soil, sediment, surface water and shallow groundwater



On-Site Stream, Basin & Wetland Sediments

OUA

ar

Pits

Unnamed Creek Corridor OUs I, L, M, Inbetween I/J

Unnamed Pond

← OUP and← OUQ

Coke Plant Settling Management Area






Wire Mill Settling Basin Management Area



St. Louis River Estuary Sediments

C. State









PRG FOOTPRINT Spirit Lake Sediment Site -Former U. S. Steel Duluth Works Saint Louis River Duluth, Minnesota



1,700,000 yd³ PAH contaminated sediment

That volume would fill all 6 floors of the **MPCA** building





Not just once... It would fill it 30 times!















USS and St. Louis River AOC

- USS contaminated sediments are the largest point source of contaminated sediment related BUI Impairments in the St. Louis River AOC
 - >650,000 yd³ of estuary contaminated sediments
- Partnerships:
 - 2011 EPA GLNPO has partnered with USS
 - 2010 MPCA partnered with USACE for Spirit Lake characterization



Estimated Schedule

Feasibility Study: Proposed Plan: Public Comment: Design/Permits: Construction: Nov/Dec 2014 Dec/Jan 2014/15 January 2015 Dec - June 2015 Summer 2015-2017

> Minnesota Pollution Control Agency



St. Louis River Area of Concern Implementation Framework: Roadmap to Delisting Remedial Action Plan Update

The Remedial Action Plan Update is:

Inclusive – Coordinated between Minnesota and Wisconsin with active participation of the Fond du Lac Band. Developed by an extensive stakeholder involvement process.

Comprehensive and Targeted – Addresses impairments in the AOC, targets objectives to remove impairments, provides strategies and actions to achieve removal objectives, and sets a timeline and estimated costs to do so.

Aggressive – Sets an overall goal to delist the AOC by 2025 which will require wellmanaged coordination of state agencies and partners to complete the actions in the RAP Update.

Timely – Funding sources to begin this work include the federal Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (GLRI) and Minnesota's Clean Water, Land and Legacy. Appropriate GLRI federal agencies are engaged and ready to step up in the St. Louis River. In Minnesota, Legacy Funds can provide matching funds to leverage federal and other non-state resources to fully implement the plan.



St. Louis River Area of Concern Priority Remediation and Restoration Sites



5.00		Restrictions on Dredging						
5.01	Update Sediment Database Data System Operations	Annual operating cost to maintain and assist. Includes uploading data sets into St. Louis River database from USACE (nav channel), EPA, NRRI, etc and helping with queries.	2018 (2025)	\$300,000	МРСА	Need to finalize with NOAA where this will be housed and start training with Limnotech	IP	
5.04	Minnesota Slip (SAA 20)	Remediate contaminated sediments	2017	\$7,000,000	MPCA	Updated FS complete; RPs invited to participate in clean up	IP	
5.05	Slip 2 (SAA 21)	Remediate contaminated sediments	2016	\$0	MPCA	Private developer will take on this effort.	IP	
5.06	Slip C (SAA 23)	Remediate contaminated sediments	2020	\$18,000,000	MPCA	RP search complete by 9/30/2014.	IP	
5.07	Northland Pier/ AGP Slip (SAA 27)	Remediate contaminated sediments	2020	\$11,000,000	MPCA	RP search complete by 9/30/2014.	IP	
5.08	Azcon Corp/ Duluth Seaway Port Authority Garfield Slip C (SAA 28)	Remediate contaminated sediments	2020	\$9,000,000	МРСА	RP search complete by 9/30/2014.	IP	
5.09	Munger Landing (SAA 75.2)	Remediate contaminated sediments; restoration	2020	\$32,000,000	MPCA	RP search complete by 9/30/2014.	IP	
9.00		Loss of Fish & Wildlife Habitat						
9.03	Radio Tower Bay (Worksheet 2-11; SAA 85)	Remove non-native material and restore optimum bathymetry	2016	\$3,500,000	MNDNR	Fully funded and in progress.	IP	
9.06	Kingsbury Bay Restoration (Worksheet 2-31; SAA 70, 71.2)	Restore wetland complex at the mouth of Kingsbury Creek to pre-1961 condition	2020	\$5,000,000	MNDNR	Funding for design work secureed through USACE RAP PA	IP	
9.07	Knowlton Creek Watershed Project (Worksheet 8-1)	Reduce runoff and sediment transport within watershed and restore cold-water stream habitat	2016	\$6,000,000	MNDNR	In progress - USACE completing stream restoration design. Implementation funding secured.	IP	
9.09	Perch Lake (Worksheet 2-12; SAA 91)	Revitalize biological connection between estuary and Perch Lake and restore optimum bathymetry	2020	\$7,000,000	MNDNR	Funding for design work secureed through USACE RAP PA	IP	
9.10	Chambers Grove Park	Soften and restore shoreline in City of Duluth park	2017	\$1,000,000	MNDNR	Design underway and funding for construction secured	IP	
9.20	Document actions taken to control invasive species	Document the appropriate area-specific plans relative to invasive species control in the AOC and incorporate it into an information tool to provide a joint MN/WI view of the ongoing invasive species control efforts. Distribute the information to help provide for efficient and expedited efforts in the AOC	2015	Operational support	MNDNR WIDNR	Draft list of area-specific plans is complete. Compilation of invasive species controls in wisconsin is underway and will be compiled with past restoration work and included on map of Wisconsin actions. Minnesota - ?	IP	
9.21	Wild Rice Plan and Associated Restoration Sites	Develop a plan that identifies the high priority restoration sites and provides a process for restoring those sites.	2019	\$510,000	MNDNR	Wild Rice Restoration Strategic Plan funded by Clean Water Fund through IAA Partnership Agreement with MPCA. MNDNR and MLT have secured restoration funding to implement the plan the tune of \$200,000 and \$160,000 respectively.	IP	
						LISEPA and MPCA Two FS combined into		
9.01	Spirit Lake (Worksheet 2-7; SAAs 76, 77, 78)	Remediate contaminated sediments and restore emergent wetlands	2018	To be determined; In discussion with RP	MPCA	one. FS expected by end of 2014. Goal is to include remediation and restoration priorities in final proposal and be implementing starting in 2015.	IP	
9.02	40th Avenue West R2R Project (Worksheet 2-9; SAAs 44, 45, 58, 59, 60)	Remediate contaminated sediments and restore habitat	2018-2020	\$20,000,000	MPCA		IP	
9.04	Grassy Point Restoration (Worksheet 2-27; SAA 63)	Remove non-native material and restore optimum bathymetry	2018-2020	\$10,000,000- \$20,000,000	MPCA		IP	
9.05	21st Avenue West R2R Project (Worksheet 2- 28; 36, 38, 41)	Remediate contaminated sediments and restore habitat. Note: the USACE 21 st Ave W Pilot Project is a part of the larger planned site restoration listed here.	2018-2020	\$19,275,000	МРСА		IP	
9.08	Mud Lake (Worksheets 2-8 and 2-26; SAAs 82, 83)	Remediate contaminated sediments, establish more vital hydrologic connection and restore wetland habitat including wild rice; establish deep water	2020	\$20,000,000	MPCA	USS joined VRP program for land and sediment issues. Per DB on 10/21 this may not be true and the site may be modified to yellow.	IP	

First Impairment Removed

Removed one year ahead of schedule!

BUI Removal Timeline	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
BUI 8: Degradation of Aesthetics		●←	—X										
BUI 3: Fish Tumors and Other Deformities				•									
BUI 6: Excessive Loading of Sediments and Nutrientss					•								
BUI 2: Degraded Fish and Wildlife Population						•							
BUI 7: Beach Closings and Body Contact Restrictions						•							
BUI 4: Degradedation of Benthos										•			
BUI 5: Restrictions on Dredging											•		
BUI 1: Fish Consumption Advisories													•
BUI 9: Loss of Fish and Wildlife Habitat													•



We are not just gonna sit here and watch the river flow -— we are going to get it delisted!

QUESTIONS?

